Dialog theory

Tom Rochette <tom.rochette@coreteks.org>

September 27, 2017 — 3ac267a9

0.1 Context

0.2 Learned in this study

0.3 Things to explore

• $Statement \subseteq Proposition \subset Conclusion$

1 Overview

In a discussion, each reply is either

- a new topic
- following the current topic, thus either continuing the existing chain or creating a new one
- replying to an old topic

In an IRC chat, one can use the nick of a user to reply to him, for example:

<johnSmith> tomzx: that's pretty nice!

Here are a few rules:

• We never reply to statements that were emitted after we emitted our reply (sequentiality of timeline)

Here are a few soft rules (not necessarily true):

- We generally reply to the last statement emitted by the person we're talking with
- We generally do not talk to ourselves
- Many statements emitted by the same emitter in short bursts may be in response to the same statements, or different statements
- An statement emitted after there has been silence for a considerable while generally implies this statement is the start of a new discussion thread

Things that can be done to ease processing:

Merge all statements from an emitter that have been emitted sequentially (not interrupted by others)

 This may make the association of future statements more difficult as it may be unclear what part of the merged statements is being replied to

1.1 Building up a context

To associate a sentence with its previous context, the following steps are accomplished:

- read the sentence and extract word cues
- determine the start of the discussion thread by observing various hints:
 - temporally close interlocutors
 - a period of inactivity potentially indicating a topic change

- 1.2 Discussion complexity
- 1.2.1 1 discussion, 2-people, 1 channel
- 1.2.2 1 discussion, n-people, 1 channel
- 1.2.3 n-discussions, 2-people, 1 channel
- 1.2.4 n-discussions, n-people, 1 channel
- 1.2.5 n-discussions, n-people, n channel

1.3 Agent Interaction Protocol

- Commencement rules
- A collection of locutions
- Combinations rules for the locutions
- A collection of commitments
- Combinations rules for the commitments
- Locution-commitment assignment rules
- Termination rules

Source: A Mathematical Model of Dialog, Mark W. Johnson, Peter McBurney, Simon Parsons

1.4 Dialog grammar

- Statements
- Claim/Proposition
- Proof
- Premise
- Conclusion
- Axiom
- Theorem
- Fact

1.5 Uncategorized

// No actors - Monologue

```
say('I want to create a new github project')
if (ask('Will it have many parts/subprojects?')) {
say('Create a new organization')
do('Create project in new organization')
} else {
say('Create project in personal account')
// With language specific verbs - Dialog(2)
tell = say
query = ask
tell('I want to create a new github project') // Tell comes from the first actor
if (ask('Will it have many parts/subprojects?')) { // Ask comes from the second actor
say('Create a new organization') // Say comes from the second actor
query('What should it be named?') // Query comes from the first actor
do('Create project in new organization')
} else {
say('Create project in personal account')
}
```

```
// With actors - Dialogue(3..n)
var alex = actor('alex');
var tom = actor('tom');
alex.say('I want to create a new github project')
if (tom.ask('Will it have many parts/subprojects?')) {
tom.say('Create a new organization')
tom.say('Create project in new organization')
//alex.do('Create project in new organization')
} else {
tom.say('Create project in personal account')
}
// Actor based - Dialogue (3..n)
tom(function() {
if (ask('Will it have many parts/subprojects?')) {
say('Create a new organization')
say('Create project in new organization')
} else {
say('Create project in personal account')
}
});
// Actions
tell
say
ask
do
wait
// Things it can do
```

Extract the list of say to create a list of options for a select Extract prefixes to make a hierarchical list "I want to ...", "I have to..."

2 See also

3 References